

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No. 10/603,520
Filing Date June 25, 2003
Confirmation No. 3658
Applicants..... Brian S. Christian et al.
Assignee Microsoft Corporation
Group Art Unit 2176
Examiner CHAU T. NGUYEN
Attorney Docket No. MS1-1511US
Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DECLARATIVE LOCALIZATION OF
WEB SERVICES

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY DATED SEPTEMBER 6, 2007

To: Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Steven C. Stewart (Tel. 206-315-7909; Fax 206-315-4004)
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
421 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 500
Spokane, WA 99201

Customer No. 22801

COMMENTS

In response to the office communication on September 6, 2007, Applicant is providing the following statement of substance for the August 21st, 2007 interview:

Applicant discussed recent amendments to the claims to the application. Applicant discussed amended claims 1, 10 and 18 in view of the Frerebeau (U.S. App. Pub. No. US 2003/0135501), Kerr (U.S. App. Pub. No. US 2004/0088155),

and Allard (U.S. Patent no. 6,370,561) references. Specifically applicant explained how “identifying a culture associated with the page request by analyzing the page request. ... determining whether one of a plurality of satellite assemblies is associated with the identified culture; ... and referencing the satellite assembly associated with the identified culture to locate content in the satellite assembly associated with each of the one or more values associated with the localization attribute, the satellite assembly being configured to provide the content prior to execution by a server of a script embedded in the requested page” are not shown in the references.

Applicant explained how the none of the references describe “a satellite assembly, *selected using the culture identified by analyzing the page request*, that includes the localized content located by the key values parser, the satellite assembly providing the localized content to replace content on the requested page prior to a server executing a script containing the localized content embedded in the requested page;” as recited in amended claim 10.

Applicant explained how the none of the references describe “referencing one of a plurality of satellite assemblies, *selected using the identified preferred culture from the page request*, to provide a localized content associated with at least one of the preferred culture and the default culture, the referenced satellite assembly being *configured to replace the localized web content with non-localized web content on the requested page prior to the computer executing a script*, said script being embedded in the requested page with the provided localized web content *so that when the script is executed with provided localized web content*

attributes of the requested page are known before being transmitted to the client;
and delivering the requested page with the executed script to the client via a
network.” as recited in claim 18.

No agreement was reached.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: October 5, 2007

By: _____


Steven C. Stewart
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
Reg. No. 33,555
(206) 315-7909